

**2024 Indiana Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentation**

Team Name \_\_\_\_\_ Team # \_\_\_\_\_

<p><b>0 = not at all</b>      <b>1 = poor or poorly</b>      <b>2 = fair or slightly</b>  <b>well 3 = good or fairly well</b>      <b>4 = excellent or</b>  <b>very well</b>      <b>5 = outstanding</b></p>	<p align="center"><b>Circle Score</b></p>
<p><b>Part I: Preparation &amp; Presentation of Plan (20 points maximum)</b></p> <p>A. How well did the presentation address or identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies? <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>* The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatics, forestry, wildlife)? <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>* The current issue? <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>* The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> </ul> <p align="right"><b>Part I subtotal</b> _____</p>	
<p><b>Part II: Application of Data (25 points maximum)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>B. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) and social/cultural issues related to the problem <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>C. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue. <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>D. The main parts were clearly stated and supported. <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> <li>E. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources. <span style="float: right;">0 1 2 3 4 5</span></li> </ul> <p align="right"><b>Part II subtotal</b> _____</p>	

<b>Part III: Quality of Presentation ( 25 points maximum)</b>		
A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction & strong conclusion.		0 1 2 3 4 5
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)		0 1 2 3 4 5
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)		0 1 2 3 4 5
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.		0 1 2 3 4 5
E. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.		0 1 2 3 4 5
<b>Part III subtotal</b>		<hr/>

<b>Part IV: Required Elements and Written Material ( 30 points maximum)</b>		<b>0 = not at all high number = outstanding</b>
A. Add up to ten points for team member participation in presentation. (Each team member gets up to 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation).		0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time (Time scale is up to 12 minutes with -2 points for going over 12 minutes). <b>Team will be stopped at 12 minutes and not allowed to continue.</b>		0 1 2 3 4 5
C. Written material presented by the team meet minimum standards given and fully covers the ideas, principles and solution given in the presentation.		0 3 6 9 12 15
<b>Part I subtotal</b>		<hr/>
<b>Score Summary</b>		
Total for Part I	( 20 maximum)	<hr/>
Total for Part II	( 25 maximum)	<hr/>
Total for Part III	( 25 maximum)	<hr/>
Total for Part IV	( 30 maximum)	<hr/>
<b>Total Score</b>	(100 points possible)	<hr/>

Judge's Comments for the Team (if any):